Elemental Fingerprinting of Mussel Shells to Predict Population Sources and Redistribution Potential in the Gulf of Maine
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Abstract

As the climate warms, species that cannot tolerate changing conditions will only persist if they undergo range shifts. Redistribution ability may be particularly variable for benthic marine species that disperse as pelagic larvae in ocean currents. The blue mussel, Mytilus edulis, has recently experienced a warming-related range contraction in the southeastern USA and may face limitations to northward range shifts within the Gulf of Maine where dominant coastal currents flow southward. Thus, blue mussels might be especially vulnerable to warming, and understanding dispersal patterns is crucial given the species’ relatively long planktonic larval period (>1 month). To determine whether trace elemental “fingerprints” incorporated in mussel shells could be used to identify population sources (i.e. collection locations), we assessed the geographic variation in shell chemistry of blue mussels collected from seven populations between Cape Cod, Massachusetts and northern Maine. Across this ~500 km of coastline, we were able to successfully predict population sources for over two-thirds of juvenile individuals, with almost 80% of juveniles classified within one site of their collection location and 97% correctly classified to region. These results indicate that significant differences in elemental signatures of mussel shells exist between open-coast sites separated by ~50 km throughout the Gulf of Maine. Our findings suggest that elemental “fingerprinting” is a promising approach for predicting redistribution potential of the blue mussel, an ecologically and economically important species in the region.
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Introduction

Recent increases in mean and extreme temperatures have been implicated in driving local populations and range-restricted species to extinction, and species extinctions may become increasingly common in the next century (see [1–3]). In order to persist (i.e., avoid extinction), species unable to tolerate changing climatic conditions must shift their ranges to track temperature isoclines [4]. Although poleward range shifts have already been documented for a number of species [5–7], redistribution ability is often unknown and unaccounted for in attempts to forecast species’ ranges [8]. The challenge of assessing redistribution potential is particularly great in the case of benthic marine species for which the pelagic larval stage represents a “black box” in their complex life cycles [9]. Furthermore, populations inhabiting locations with currents that flow predominantly equatorward, opposite the direction of likely climate shifts, might face limitations to shifting poleward [10].

There is emerging evidence that global warming is precipitating declines in blue mussel, Mytilus edulis, populations of the eastern USA [11,12]. Persistence of blue mussels in the Gulf of Maine may increasingly require northward range shifts, towards habitats that are cooler on average, as well as the “rescue” of more cold-adapted populations via re-seeding from more heat-tolerant populations [13,14]. However, it is unknown whether mussels can disperse northward in the region against the predominantly southward flowing coastal currents [15–17]. Furthermore, the Gulf of Maine represents the center of the blue mussel’s abundance distribution in the northwestern Atlantic, with current abundances 20-fold lower in southern Canada [18]. There would likely be severe ecological and economic impacts if blue mussel populations declined and, ultimately, if the species became unable to persist in the Gulf of Maine. As basal species, mussels serve as a primary food source for the top carnivores in intertidal habitats [19,20] and are foundation species that, within the 3-dimensional matrix of their beds, provide essential habitat for a diverse assemblage of invertebrates [21]. Furthermore, blue mussels are consumed by humans, with over 6 million pounds – amounting to $7 million – harvested in the USA in 2011, and almost 90% of USA mussel landings on record coming from the Gulf of Maine [22].

Here, we assess whether geographic variation in the shell chemistry of blue mussels suggests that elemental fingerprinting would be an effective approach for identifying population sources and, thus, redistribution potential of this species in the northwestern Atlantic. The viability and efficacy of an elemental fingerprinting approach (sensu [23]) for “provenancing” (i.e.,
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Materials and Methods

To assess the reliability of elemental fingerprinting for identifying mussel source locations in the Gulf of Maine, we collected mussels at 7 sites between northern Maine and Cape Cod, Massachusetts, USA (Fig. 1). All sites supported mussel populations inhabiting coastal, intertidal, hard-bottom habitats that were on or adjacent to rocky headlands, with the exception of HB which was a rock jetty. No permits were required for the described study, which did not involve a protected species. Within a one-month period in early summer 2011, we collected \(N=30\) adult mussels (35.7 ± 8.8 SD mm length) and \(N=20–25\) juvenile mussels (2.6 ± 0.6 SD mm length) at each site, the juveniles being most often found attached to foliose algae or the byssal threads of adult mussels.

Mussels were transported to the laboratory on ice, where their soft tissues were removed. For shells from the northernmost site (HC; Fig. 1), where the congeners Mytilus trossulus coexist – and is cryptogenic – with \(M.\) edulis at about 35% frequency [29], we saved the soft tissue and confirmed species identities using species-specific PCR markers for COI mtDNA (see Appendix S1; note that these congeners do not appear to hybridize in the Gulf of Maine, [18]). Shells were cleaned with a series of washes (1 min in glacial acetic acid and 2×1 min in ultrapure water). For adult shells, one valve was sectioned lengthwise using an IsoMet® diamond saw (Buehler, Illinois Toolworks Inc., Lake Bluff, Illinois, USA), and the thin section was mounted on a petrographic slide with Crystal Bond adhesive (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, Pennsylvania, USA). Juvenile shells were small enough to be mounted whole, with the valves separated and exterior of the shells facing upwards away from the slides.

Using laser-ablation inductively-coupled mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS), we analyzed the trace elemental composition of shells at the growing edge (i.e., the most recently-formed shell) for both adults and juveniles. The LA-ICP-MS system consisted of a laser ablation unit (213 nm Nd:YAG; Cetac Technologies, Omaha, Nebraska, USA) connected to a Perkin Elmer ELAN DRC II ICP-MS (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, Connecticut, USA). Laser ablation was conducted at 75% power with additional parameters as follows: for adults, we ablated three 50 μM spots (subsamples) with 300 shots at 10 Hz; for juveniles, we ablated a single line (parallel to shell growth lines) at 10 Hz with a spot size of 50 μM and a scan rate of 25 μM sec\(^{-1}\). We quantified isotopes of 8 trace elements for inclusion in our analyses (\(^{44}\)Ca, \(^{46}\)Ca, \(^{54}\)Mg, \(^{56}\)Ca, \(^{60}\)Zn, \(^{65}\)Cu, \(^{87}\)Sr, \(^{137}\)Ba, \(^{139}\)La, and \(^{208}\)Pb) with GeoPro2010 software (Cetac Technologies). Using USGS standards (MACS-1 and MACS-3) and \(^{40}\)Ca as an internal standard, we calculated ratios to \(^{44}\)Ca for each of the trace elements.

We conducted a linear discriminant function analysis using Proc DISCRIM in SAS v. 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) to assess our ability to distinguish between sites based on shell chemistry (by means of a jackknifed cross-validation analysis). Samples with values for any trace element below the instrument detection limit (Table S1; 31/159 juveniles and 71/210 adults) were not included in the analysis; thus, we used proportional prior probabilities given unequal sample sizes. Results of the cross-validation analysis are only reported for individuals assigned to sites based on a posterior probability of greater than 0.4, approx. 3 times the random probability of 0.14. Individual elemental ratios were also compared between sites with univariate analyses of variance.

Results

Using the cross-validation analysis, we were able to correctly predict the collection site for 68.4% of juvenile mussels (Table 1, Fig. 2), with 78.5% of individuals correctly classified to the site of collection or the adjacent site. Misclassifications were more frequent for the most open coast sites (HC, CP, LP) than for more sheltered sites. Grouping mussels into three regions – northern Maine (HC & GN), southern Maine (CP & DC), and Massachusetts (LP, CL & HB) – increased classification success to 97% (Table S1). Classification success was higher for juveniles than for adults; 57.3% and 73.0% of adults were correctly classified to the collection site or within adjacent sites, respectively (Table S2).

Classification success tended to increase with sample size, and individuals at sites with the highest levels of misclassification tended to classify into an adjacent site: for example, none of the adults from 2 sites (GN and DC) were re-assigned with high probability to their site of collection, but 4 of 5 individuals from GN and 6 of 10 individuals from DC were assigned to adjacent sites (Table S2).

One-way ANOVA results for juvenile mussel shells indicated differences between sites for 5 of the elemental ratios (\(p<0.001\)), all except La:Ca (\(p=0.0734\)) and Cu:Ca (\(p=0.270\)) (Fig. 3). Of the 7 elemental ratios included in the linear discriminant function for juvenile shells, Mg:Ca, Zn:Ca, and Ba:Ca had the highest standardized coefficient values and were, thus, the most important for discriminating between sites (Table S3). For adult mussel shells,
all 7 elemental ratios differed across sites ($p<0.04$), with Sr:Ca, Ba:Ca, and Zn:Ca the most important ratios for differentiating between sites.

**Discussion**

Our results show that trace element fingerprinting based on shell chemistry can be used to successfully identify the population source for blue mussels in the Gulf of Maine, an advective, open-coastal system. Over two-thirds (68%) of mussels were correctly classified to their site of collection with almost 80% classified no more than one site distant from their collection site. These results are slightly higher than those for the congeners *Mytilus californianus* and *M. galloprovincialis* in southern California, USA where 56% were correctly classified to one of six collection sites spanning approx. 50 km of coastline [23]. As found by Becker et al. [23], for which grouping California sites into two (northern and southern) regions increased classification ability, our overall classification success was 97% when sites were grouped into regions of northern Maine, southern Maine, and Massachusetts.

Ultimately, for predicting origins of mussel larvae, it would be ideal to maintain separate site identities because calculations based on current speeds (5–30 cm sec$^{-1}$; [17]) and *M. edulis* larval duration (≥1 month; [31]) suggest dispersal could commonly occur between sites but within a region in the Gulf of Maine. Clear site-specific elemental signatures allowed us to assign sources with 66–100% accuracy at five of our seven sites. These site signatures represent an amalgamation of the 7 elements, most of which varied significantly along the coastline. Levels of heavy metals in mussel soft tissues, monitored by the Mussel Watch program, have also demonstrated high site-to-site variability, with high heavy metal concentrations associated with low tidal ranges (i.e. low flushing rate) and high population sizes [32]. Consequently, soft tissue levels of some heavy metals (e.g. Pb) tend to increase towards the south [32], a pattern that is not apparent across our smaller sample of 7 sites. In our study, the GN site, in particular, stands out as having high levels of Mg and Zn; the latter may be a remnant of historical mining activities as elevated Zn levels were recently detected in estuarine sediments of the watershed inshore from GN [33]. Two sites (HC and CP) had much lower assignment accuracy. Although the reason for this significantly reduced accuracy is unclear, localized signatures may be obscured at these sites due to their location on more open coasts with greater rates of water exchange. Open coasts tend to have geochemical signatures that differ on a larger scale (e.g., [26],[34]) relative to those in bays or estuaries where water exchange is less (e.g., [35],[36]).

Several methods have been employed for predicting dispersal trajectories and connectivity patterns of marine species with pelagic larvae, including elemental fingerprinting, genetic techniques, bio-physical modeling, and individual-based biological models of larval development (reviewed in [37–40]). Each of these approaches has different advantages and disadvantages such that a combined approach will often provide the greatest insight [40]. Trace element fingerprinting is an individual (rather than a population) metric that does not require assumptions about the dispersal pathway; rather, for each individual, the endpoint is known and starting point is determined based on many possible origins. Elemental fingerprinting typically incorporates several assumptions that are inherent to most assignment methods, including, for example, that the reference map from which larval origins are determined includes all possibilities, an assumption that is violated if there are populations outside of the study region whose larvae are transported into the study region. Such violations might be particularly problematic for predicting the origin of recruits on the edge of the study area, manifesting as high-levels of self-recruitment or misidentification of the natal site to one within
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Figure 3. Trace elemental composition of mussel shells. Values are ratios (mmol, to mol Ca) of trace element concentrations (mean ± SE) in shells of juvenile (black) and adult mussels (gray) collected from 7 sites in the Gulf of Maine (see Fig. 1). Sites are listed in order from north (HC) to south (HB).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080868.g003
the study region that is most similar to the uncharacterized site outside of the study region. Furthermore, the larval fingerprinting technique assumes that all locations within the study region are adequately characterized by the closest sampled site. Finally, elemental fingerprinting techniques are more reliable when there is greater site-specific consistency in dissolved concentrations of trace metals. Unsurprisingly, we found that classification success was higher with juvenile mussels than for adults, indicating that future attempts to identify larval origins and mussel connectivity patterns are likely to be more successful when using juvenile than adult shells. This was expected given that the same total shell volume was sampled for both ages, and it represented integration of trace elements incorporated over a shorter time period (and, thus, less potential temporal variation in water chemistry) in the juveniles, due to their faster growth rates as compared to adult mussels [41].

Keeping these caveats in mind, our results suggest that mussel population sources can be successfully identified in the Gulf of Maine, an advective, open-coast system. Trace element fingerprinting is, thus, a promising approach for predicting the larval origins of mussel recruits in the northeastern USA. Our preliminary analyses of larval shells (which are retained – and were sampled – at the umbo of juvenile shells) across these 7 sites suggest that blue mussels are able to disperse northward in the Gulf of Maine (Sorte et al. unpublished data). This pattern begins sampling with increased spatial and temporal coverage, as well as consideration of findings that trace metal incorporation can differ between larval and juvenile bivalves [42]. However, if our preliminary results suggesting northward dispersal in the Gulf of Maine are upheld by future research, they have positive implications for mussel persistence and redistribution potential in the region. The explanations for dispersal upstream (in relation to average currents) are the same as solutions to the “drift paradox”, a term that describes the difficulty of persistence for populations of especially benthic species maintaining their existing ranges in advective environments [43]. Pachepsky et al. [44] and Latscher et al. [45] have shown that such maintenance of present range boundaries is theoretically synonymous with ability of a species to shift its range upstream. Byers and Pringle [46] addressed the conditions that might allow benthic marine invertebrates to disperse “against the flow” as pelagic larvae. Their modeling results indicated that temporal and spatial flow variation coupled with life-history characteristics (such as timing of reproduction and pelagic larval duration) can promote upstream dispersal. In a test of the “drift paradox” with the congeners Mytilus californianus and M. galloprovincialis, Carson et al. [47] found that self-recruitment was the dominant mechanism of persistence for upstream, range-edge populations in the eastern Pacific, and local retention is likely promoted by flow variability in our study region. Although measurements of both Eulerian flow (via fixed buoys) and Lagrangian flow (via drifters) support a predominantly southward directionality of coastal currents in the Gulf of Maine, there is spatial and temporal variation in the flow field that includes frequent northward excursions [15],[17],[48]. Additional evidence for conditions allowing some upstream dispersal in the Gulf of Maine comes from another benthic invertebrate: the green crab, Carcinus maenas. In this case, although genetic results suggest primarily downstream dispersal, the appearance of a southern allele in northern green crab populations indicates the presence of northward gene flow [49].

In conclusion, we have shown that significant differences in elemental signatures of mussel shells exist on 50 km scales throughout the Gulf of Maine, which should allow us to use elemental fingerprinting to identify natal origins and estimate patterns of blue mussel dispersal in the region. Although, to date, researchers are still in the process of confirming the viability of this “new wave” technique [50] of elemental fingerprinting (e.g., [26],[27],[35],[36],[51]), it has the potential to permit testing of important questions in global change biology, including whether oceanographic processes will preclude or facilitate climate-induced shifts in distributions of marine species. Ideally, future use of elemental fingerprinting for characterizing mussel dispersal patterns would maximize study area, coverage of that area (i.e., number of collection sites), and sampling frequency while also integrating genetic and/or flow dynamic approaches to best shed light on the “black box” of larval connectivity. If future research, building off of the approach proposed here, indicates that blue mussels are indeed able to disperse northward in the Gulf of Maine, this suggests a natural mechanism that could allow populations to persist in the region, albeit increasingly shifted toward more northern locations.
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